

AS-2227 Mathematics (Integration Theory - I)Solution  
Section A

1 (i)  $m^*(A+x) = \underline{m^*(A)}$

(ii)  $A$  is measurable.(iii)  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring and is the smallest hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring containing  $\mathbb{R}$ .(iv) A triple  $[X, \mathcal{S}, \mu]$  is called a measure space if  $[X, \mathcal{S}]$  is a measurable space and  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{S}$ .Example:  $[\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}, m]$  and  $[\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, m]$  are measure spaces, where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the Borel sets.(v) A measurable simple function  $\phi$  is one taking a finite number of non-negative values, each on a measurable set; so if  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are distinct values of  $\phi$ , we have  $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}$ , where $A_i = [x: \phi(x) = a_i]$ . Then the integral of  $\phi$ w.r.t  $\mu$  (measure) is given by

$$\int \phi d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu(A_i).$$

(vi) The total variation of a signed measure  $\nu$  is  $|\nu| = \nu^+ + \nu^-$ , where  $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$  is the Jordan decomposition of  $\nu$ .  
Clearly  $|\nu|$  is a measure on a measurable space  $[X, \mathcal{S}]$  and for each  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $|\nu(E)| \leq |\nu|(E)$ .

(vii) If  $\mu, \nu$  are signed measure on  $[X, \mathcal{S}]$  and  $\nu(E) = 0$  whenever  $|\mu|(E) = 0$ , then  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t  $\mu$  and we write  $\nu \ll \mu$ , where  $[X, \mathcal{S}]$  is a measurable space.

(viii) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are sets, their Cartesian product  $X \times Y$  is the set of ordered pairs  $[(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y]$ .  
If  $X$  and  $Y$  are spaces,  $X \times Y$  is the product space.

(ix) The Lebesgue outer measure of a set is given by  $m^*(A) = \inf \sum l(I_n)$ , where the infimum is taken over all finite or countable collections of intervals  $[I_n]$  such that  $A \subseteq \cup I_n$ .

(x) G<sub>δ</sub>-set - A set is said to be G<sub>δ</sub> if it is countable intersection of open sets.

2. Lebesgue measurable set -

The set  $E$  is Lebesgue measurable set if for each set  $A$  we have

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \cap E^c) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Now, we have to show that  $\mathcal{M}$  the class of Lebesgue measurable sets is a  $\sigma$ -algebra

From the definition of Lebesgue measurable set,  $R \in \mathcal{M}$  and the symmetry in above definition

between  $E$  and  $E^c$  implies that if  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  then  $E^c \in \mathcal{M}$ . So it remains to be shown that if

$\{E_j\}$  is a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{M}$  then  $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $A$  be an arbitrary set. By (1) (with  $E$  replaced by  $E_1$ ) we have

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E_1) + m^*(A \cap E_1^c), \text{ we have again by (1) (with } E \text{ replaced by } E_1 \text{ \& } A \text{ by } A \cap E_1^c)$$

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E_1) + m^*(A \cap E_2 \cap E_1^c) + m^*(A \cap E_1^c \cap E_2^c).$$

Continuing in this way we obtain, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E_1) + \sum_{i=2}^n m^*(A \cap E_i \cap \bigcap_{j<i} E_j^c) + m^*(A \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n E_j^c\right))$$

$$= m^*(A \cap E_1) + \sum_{i=2}^n m^*(A \cap E_i \cap (\bigcup_{j<i} E_j)^c) + m^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^n E_j)^c)$$

$$\geq m^*(A \cap E_1) + \sum_{i=2}^n m^*(A \cap E_i \cap (\bigcup_{j<i} E_j)^c) + m^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j)^c)$$

(Using the property that if  $A \subseteq B$  then  $m^*(A) \leq m^*(B)$ )

Therefore

$$m^*(A) \geq m^*(A \cap E_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} m^*(A \cap E_i \cap (\bigcup_{j<i} E_j)^c) + m^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j)^c)$$

$$\geq m^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j)) + m^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j)^c)$$

$$\geq m^*(A), \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Using the theorem, for any seq of sets  $\{E_i\}$ ,  $m^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(E_i)$ , and using the fact

that for any  $n$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n (E_i \cap (\bigcup_{j<i} E_j)^c) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$

Hence we have equality throughout in (2) and we have shown that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$  is measurable.  $\square$

Now it is given that  $F \in \mathcal{M}$  &  $m^*(F \Delta G) = 0$  we have to show that  $G$  is measurable.

We know that  $m^*(F \Delta G) = 0 \Rightarrow F \Delta G$  is measurable.  
 $F \Delta G = (F - G) \cup (G - F)$ , so its subsets

$F-G$  &  $G-F$  are measurable. So by above result,  $(3)$   
 $F \cap G = F - (F-G)$  is measurable. So  $G = (F \cap G) \cup (G-F)$   
 is measurable.

3. Proof - If  $E$  contains no set of negative  $\nu$ -measure, then  $E$  is a positive set and  $A = E$  gives the result. Otherwise there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there exists  $B \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $B \subseteq E$  and  $\nu(B) < -1/n$ . Let  $n_1$  be the smallest such integer and  $E_1$  a corresponding measurable subset of  $E$  with  $\nu(E_1) < -1/n_1$ . Let  $n_k$  be the smallest positive integer such that there is a measurable subset  $E_k$  of  $E - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} E_i$  with  $\nu(E_k) < -1/n_k$ . From the construction,  $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots$  and we have a corresponding sequence  $\{E_i\}$  of disjoint subsets of  $E$ . If the process stops, at  $n_m$  say, and  $C = E - \bigcup_{i=1}^m E_i$ , then  $C$  is a positive set, and  $\nu(C) > 0$ , for  $\nu(C) = 0$  would imply that  $\nu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^m \nu(E_i) < 0$ . So  $C$  is the desired set. If the process does not stop, put  $A = E - \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ ; we wish to show that  $A$  is a positive set. We have

$$\nu(E) = \nu(A) + \nu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right). \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

But  $\nu$  cannot take both the values  $\infty, -\infty$ ,  $\nu(E) > 0$  and  $\nu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_k) < 0$ , so the second term on the right-hand side of (1) is finite. So  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_k) > -\infty$ , hence  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/n_k < \infty$  and, in particular,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n_k = \infty$ ,

and  $n_k > 1$  for  $k > k_0$ , say. So let  $B \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $B \subseteq A$  and  $k > k_0$ . Then  $B \subseteq E - \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$  so

$$v(B) \geq -\frac{1}{n_k - 1} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

by the definition of  $n_k$ . But (2) holds for all  $k > k_0$ , so letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $v(B) \geq 0$  and so  $A$  is a positive set. As before,  $v(A) = 0$  would imply  $v(E) < 0$ , so  $v(A) > 0$  as required.

(4) Let  $A, B$  be a Hahn decomposition w.r.t  $\mu$ , so that  $\mu(E \cap A) = \mu^+(E)$ ,  $-\mu(E \cap B) = \mu^-(E)$ .

Now  $\nu \ll \mu^+$  and  $\mu^+$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, so on applying Radon-Nikodym Theorem to  $\mu^+$  on  $A$  we get  $\nu(E \cap A) = \int_{E \cap A} f_1 d\mu^+$  for an appropriate function  $f_1$  on  $A$ , and

Similarly  $\nu(E \cap B) = \int_{E \cap B} f_2 d\mu^-$  for  $f_2$  defined on  $B$ . So

define  $f = f_1$  on  $A$ ,  $f = -f_2$  on  $B$ . Then by the property of measurable functions, we get  $f$  is a measurable function on  $X$ , and  $\nu(E) = \int_{A \cap E} f_1 d\mu^+ - \int_{B \cap E} f_2 d\mu^-$ .

As  $\nu$  is a signed measure this will not be of the form  $\int f d\mu$  so  $\nu(E) = \int_E f d\mu$  is well defined. Any two

such functions, from the construction, agree except on a set of zero  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$  measure, giving the result.  $\square$

⑤ Let  $g$  be a finite valued left continuous monotonic increasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and for an interval  $[a, b]$  define

$$\mu([a, b]) = g(b) - g(a)$$

If  $a = b$ ,  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$

I<sup>st</sup>  $\mu$  is non negative.

Write  $U_i = (a_i, b_i)$  and select intervals as follows. Let  $a \in U_{k_1}$ , say. If  $b_{k_1} \leq b$ , let  $k_2$  be such that  $b_{k_1} \in U_{k_2}$  etc., by induction, the sequence ending when  $b_{k_m} > b$ . Renumbering the intervals, we have chosen  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m$ , where  $a_{i+1} < b_i < b_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} g(b) - g(a) &\leq g(b_m) - g(a_1) \\ &= g(b_1) - g(a_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (g(b_{i+1}) - g(b_i)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \{g(b_i) - g(a_i)\} \end{aligned}$$

But  $m \leq n$  and  $g(b) - g(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \{g(b_i) - g(a_i)\}$  follows.

II<sup>nd</sup> - (i) Suppose that  $I = [a, b]$  and  $B_i = [a_i, b_i)$ , each  $i$ . We may suppose that  $b > a$  and if  $\epsilon > 0$  we may choose  $c$  such that  $0 < c < b - a$  and  $g(b) - g(b-c) < \epsilon$ . Also, for each  $i$ , choose  $\epsilon_i$  such that  $\epsilon_i < a_i$  and  $g(a_i) - g(\epsilon_i) < \epsilon/2^i$ . Write  $F = [a, b-c]$  and  $U_i = (\epsilon_i, b_i)$ . So  $F \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$  and by Heine Borel Theorem,  $F \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ . Then by Theorem 2

$$g(b-c) - g(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (g(b_i) - g(\epsilon_i))$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^n (g(b_i) - g(a_i) + \varepsilon/2^i)$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (g(b_i) - g(a_i)) + \varepsilon.$$

So  $g(b) - g(a) - \varepsilon < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) + \varepsilon.$

But  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary and result follows.

(ii) As we know, if  $E_i, i=1, \dots, n$ , are disjoint intervals such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \subseteq I$ , where  $I$  is an interval, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) \leq \mu(I). \quad \text{From this we obtain } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) \leq \mu(I)$$

But then (i) gives the result.

⑤ Riesz Representation Theorem : Let  $G$  be a bounded linear functional on  $L^p(X, \mu)$ . Then there exists a unique element  $g$  of  $L^q(X, \mu)$  such that

$$G(f) = \int fg \, d\mu \quad \text{for each } f \in L^p \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where  $p, q$  are conjugate indices. Also

$$\|G\| = \|g\|_q. \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Proof - Let  $g$  and  $g'$  have the desired property and let  $E$  be any set of finite measure, so that  $\chi_E \in L^p$ .

$$\text{Then } \int_E (g - g') \, d\mu = \int \chi_E (g - g') \, d\mu = 0.$$

So  $g = g'$  a.e., since the set  $\{x : g(x) \neq g'(x)\}$  has  $\sigma$ -finite measure. So the uniqueness is proved.

Let  $G$  on  $L^p(\mu)$  defined by  $G(f) = \int fg d\mu$  for a fixed  $g \in L^q(\mu)$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  being conjugate indices with  $p \geq 1$  and with  $q = \infty$  in the case where  $p = 1$ . Then  $G$  is a bounded linear functional and  $\|G\| \leq \|g\|_q$ .

If  $\|G\| = 0$  then  $G(f) = 0$  for all  $f$ , so  $g \equiv 0$  satisfies (1) and (2). So suppose  $\|G\| > 0$ . Suppose first that  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . For each  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  define  $\lambda(E) = G(\chi_E)$ .

Firstly we show that  $\lambda$  is a signed measure. Clearly  $\lambda(\emptyset) = 0$ . Since  $\chi_{A \cup B} = \chi_A + \chi_B$  for disjoint sets  $A, B$ ,  $\lambda$  is finitely additive. Let  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$  and let  $A_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$ . We have  $\|\chi_{A_n} - \chi_E\|_p = (\mu(E - A_n))^{1/p} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $G$  is continuous, we have  $\lambda(A_n) \rightarrow \lambda(E)$ , so  $\lambda$  is countably additive. Since  $G$  takes only finite values,  $\lambda$  is a signed measure. Also if  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then  $\|\chi_E\|_p = 0$  so  $\lambda(E) = 0$ , i.e.  $\lambda \ll \mu$ . So by

Corollary of Radon-Nikodym Theorem, i.e. R.N. theorem can be extended to the case where  $\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite signed measure, there exists  $g \in L^1(\mu)$  s.t. for each  $E \in \mathcal{S}$

$$G(\chi_E) = \int_E g d\mu = \int \chi_E g d\mu.$$

We now dispense with the signed measure  $\lambda$  and show that  $g$  has the required properties.

By linearity we have  $G(\phi) = \int \phi g d\mu$  for any measurable simple function  $\phi$ . But each function  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$  is the uniform limit a.e. of a seq.  $\{\psi_n\}$  where each  $\psi_n$  is the

difference of measurable simple functions, so  $\|f - \psi_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ .  
 So, by the continuity of  $G$ ,

$$G(f) = \int fg \, d\mu \quad \text{for each } f \in L^\infty(\mu). \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Now we show that  $\|G\| = \|g\|_q$ . Let the function  $\alpha$  on  $X$  again be defined by:  $\alpha = 1$  where  $g > 0$ ,  $\alpha = -1$  where  $g \leq 0$ .  
 So  $\alpha$  is measurable and  $\alpha g = |g|$ . Let  $E_n = \{x: |g(x)| \leq n\}$   
 and put  $f = \alpha \chi_{E_n} |g|^{q-1}$  where  $p, q$  are conjugate indices. Then  $|f|^p = |g|^q$  on  $E_n$ ,  $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$  and by (3)

$$\int_{E_n} |g|^q \, d\mu = \int fg \, d\mu = G(f) \leq \|G\| \|f\|_p = \|G\| \left( \int_{E_n} |g|^q \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

So we get  $\int \chi_{E_n} |g|^q \, d\mu \leq \|G\|^q \quad \text{--- (5)}$

For this is obvious if  $\left( \int_{E_n} |g|^q \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} = 0$ ; otherwise divide (4) across by this factor and raise to the power  $q$ . Since  $\chi_{E_n} \uparrow 1$ , (5) & R.H. Th<sup>m</sup>, give  $\|g\|_q \leq \|G\|$ , and, in particular,  $g \in L^q(\mu)$ .

So by (\*)  $\|g\|_q = \|G\|$ .

So (1) holds for  $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . But the bounded functions are dense in  $L^p$ . For it is sufficient to show that every non-negative function  $f \in L^p$  is the limit, in the mean of order  $p$ , of a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of bounded functions. Put  $f_n = \min(f, n)$ . Then  $0 \leq (f - f_n)^p \leq f^p$  and  $f - f_n \rightarrow 0$  a.e. So by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence

Theorem,  $\|f - fn\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . Then by the continuity of  $G$ ,  $G(fn) \rightarrow G(f)$ .  
 Also by Holder's inequality,  $\int fn g d\mu \rightarrow \int fg d\mu$ .  
 So  $G(f) = \int fg d\mu$ , proving the result of the theorem of finite measure spaces.

We now extend the result to the case when  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ , where the  $X_i$  are disjoint measurable sets of finite  $\mu$ -measure. Any function  $f_i$  on  $X_i$ , measurable w.r.t the  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets  $E \cap X_i$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  can be extended to  $f$  on  $X$  by putting  $f = 0$  on  $X_i^c$ . Then  $G$  has the restriction  $G_i$  on  $L(X_i, \mu)$  where  $G_i(f_i) = G(f)$ , and we have  $\|G_i\| \leq \|G\|$ . By the first part,  $G_i(f_i) = G(\chi_{X_i} f) = \int_{X_i} fg_i d\mu$  for each  $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ , for each  $i$ , and for a suitable  $g_i \in L^q(X_i, \mu)$ . Extend  $g_i$  to  $X$  by putting  $g_i = 0$  on  $X_i^c$  and write  $g = \sum g_i$ . By linearity, if  $Y_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,

$$G(\chi_{Y_n} f) = \int_{Y_n} f(g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_n) d\mu, \quad \forall f \in L^p(X, \mu)$$

As in the first part, since  $\mu(Y_n) < \infty$ , we have

$$\|g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_n\| \leq \|G\| \text{ for each } n. \text{ So}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\|g\|_q)^q &= \int |\sum g_i|^q d\mu = \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \right|^q d\mu \\ &\leq \liminf_n \int \left| \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \right|^q d\mu \leq \|G\|^q \end{aligned}$$

by Fatou's lemma, giving  $\|g\|_q = \|G\|$  by  $\otimes$ . Also  $\chi_{Y_n} f \rightarrow f$  in the mean of order  $p$  so  $G(\chi_{Y_n} f) \rightarrow G(f)$ . But  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i \rightarrow g$  in the mean of order  $q$ , so by Holder's

inequality  $\int X_{V_n} f \sum_{i=1}^n g_i d\mu \Rightarrow \int fg d\mu$ .

Now consider the general case where  $\mu$  need not be  $\sigma$ -finite. We show that there exists a set  $X_0 \in \mathcal{S}$  which is of  $\sigma$ -finite measure, that is,  $X_0$  is the union of a sequence of sets of finite measure and such that if  $f=0$  on  $X_0$  then  $G(f)=0$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be such that  $\|f_n\|_p = 1$  and  $G(f_n) \geq \|G\| (1 - 1/n)$ . We know that  $X_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [x; f_n(x) \neq 0]$  has  $\sigma$ -finite measure. Let  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $E \subseteq X_0^c$ , then  $\|f_n + tx_E\|_p = (1 + t^p \mu(E))^{1/p}$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} |G(f_n) - G(f_n + tx_E)| &\leq \|G(f_n + tx_E)\| \\ &\leq \|G\| (1 + t^p \mu(E))^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$|G(tx_E)| \leq \|G\| [(1 + t^p \mu(E))^{1/p} - 1 + n^{-1}]$$

for every  $n$ . Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and then divide by  $t (> 0)$  to get

$$|G(x_E)| \leq \|G\| \frac{(1 + t^p \mu(E))^{1/p} - 1}{t}$$

Since  $p > 1$  we may apply L'Hospital's rule as  $t \rightarrow 0$  to get  $G(x_E) = 0$ . So  $G$  vanishes for simple functions and hence for measurable functions which equal zero on  $X_0$ . So by the proof for the  $\sigma$ -finite case we can find  $g \in L^q(X_0)$  such that

$$G(X_{X_0} f) = \int_{X_0} fg d\mu.$$

Define  $g$  to be zero on  $X_0^c$  to get the required function of the theorem. D

## ⑦ Hahn decomposition theorem

⑦

Let  $\nu$  be a signed measure on  $[X, \mathcal{S}]$ . Then there exists a positive set  $A$  and a negative set  $B$  such that  $A \cup B = X$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . The pair  $A, B$  is said to be Hahn decomposition of  $X$  w.r.t  $\nu$ . It is unique to the extent that if  $A_1, B_1$  and  $A_2, B_2$  are Hahn decomposition of  $X$  w.r.t  $\nu$ , then  $A_1 \Delta A_2$  is a  $\nu$ -null set.

Proof:- We may suppose that  $\nu < \infty$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ , for otherwise we consider  $-\nu$ , the result of the theorem for  $-\nu$  implying the result for  $\nu$ . Let  $\alpha = \sup \{ \nu(C) : C \text{ is a positive set} \}$ , so  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Let  $\{A_i\}$  be a sequence of positive set, (and from the definition of  $\alpha$ ) such that  $\alpha = \lim \nu(A_i)$ . A countable union of sets positive w.r.t signed measure  $\nu$  is a positive set, therefore  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is a positive set, and from the definition of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq \nu(A)$ . But  $A - A_i \subseteq A$  and hence is a positive set. So for each  $i$ ,

$$\nu(A) = \nu(A_i) + \nu(A - A_i) \geq \nu(A_i)$$

So  $\nu(A) \geq \lim \nu(A_i) = \alpha$  and hence  $\nu(A) = \alpha$ , that is, the value of  $\alpha$  is achieved on a positive set. Write  $B = A^c$ . Then if  $B$  contains a set  $D$  of positive set  $\nu$ -measure, we have  $0 < \nu(D) < \infty$ . [Let  $\nu$  (signed measure) on  $[X, \mathcal{S}]$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\nu(E) > 0$ . Then there exists  $A$ , a set positive w.r.t  $\nu$ , s.t  $A \subseteq E$  &  $\nu(A) > 0$ ].  $\otimes$   
So by  $\otimes$ ,  $D$  contains a positive set  $E$  such that  $0 < \nu(E) < \infty$ . But then  $\nu(A \cup E) = \nu(A) + \nu(E) > \alpha$ , contradicting the definition of  $\alpha$ . So  $\nu(D) \leq 0$  and  $B$  is a negative set

and  $A, B$  form a Hahn decomposition.

For the last part note that  $A_1 - A_2 = A_1 \cap B_2$  and hence is a positive and negative set and so a null set. Similarly  $A_2 - A_1$  is a null set, and so  $A_1 \Delta A_2$  is null.

□

⑧ Each set  $E \in \mathcal{R}$  can be written as  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$  where the  $E_i$  are disjoint intervals. Define  $\bar{\mu}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i)$ . This defines  $\bar{\mu}$  uniquely on  $\mathcal{R}$  since if  $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^m F_j$  is another decomposition of  $E$  into disjoint intervals, then  $E = \bigcup_{i,j} (E_i \cap F_j)$ , the intervals  $E_i \cap F_j$  are disjoint

$$\text{and } \bar{\mu}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(E_i \cap F_j) \\ = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i \cap F_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(F_j)$$

using the additivity of  $\mu$  ~~given by~~ So  $\mu$  and  $\bar{\mu}$  are equal for intervals; also  $\bar{\mu}$  is clearly finitely additive.

Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a sequence of disjoint sets of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then, for each  $i$ ,  $E_i$  is a finite

union of disjoint intervals

$$E_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m(i)} E_{ij}$$

So  $\bar{\mu}(E_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{m(i)} \mu(E_{ij})$ . If  $E$  is an interval,

then

$$\bar{\mu}(E) = \mu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m(i)} \mu(E_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(E_i) \quad \text{①}$$

as the intervals  $E_{ij}$  are disjoint. In general, we can write  $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^m F_k$  where the  $F_k$  are disjoint intervals. ⑤

Then, as  $\bar{\mu}$  is finitely additive

$$\bar{\mu}(E) = \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{\mu}(F_k) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(F_k \cap E_i) \text{ by } \textcircled{1}.$$

$$\text{So } \bar{\mu}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{\mu}(F_k \cap E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(E_i). \text{ So } \bar{\mu}$$

is countably additive. Since  $\bar{\mu}(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\bar{\mu}$  is a measure.

Clearly, any measure on  $\mathcal{R}$  which extends  $\mu$  must, from the definition of  $\bar{\mu}$ , equal  $\bar{\mu}$  on each set  $R$ .

So the extension is unique.

□

Julee Srivastava